



As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name;

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled

SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR AUTOMATED PLACEMENT OR CONFIGURATION OF EQUIPMENT FOR OBTAINING DESIRED NETWORK PERFORMANCE, OBJECTIVES AND FOR SECURITY, RF TAGS, AND BANDWIDTH PROVISIONING

the specificat	tion of which:				
(check one)	□ is attached hereto				
	Application Ser and was amende	al No. 10/714,929	, as		
	reby state that I have reany amendment referre		the contents of the above idea	ntified specification, inclu	ding the claims, as
	knowledge the duty to defect the Regulations, §		ch is material to the examination	on of this application in acc	cordance with Title
inventor's cer	rtificate listed below an		e 35, United States Code, § 1: low any foreign application for ed:		
Prior Foreign Application(s)			priority claimed		
(Numbe	r) (C	ountry)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	yes no	
as the subject by the first p 37, Code of	t matter of each of the c aragraph of Title 35, U	aims of this application nited States Code, § 112 § 1.56 which occurred	ites Code, § 119 of any United is not disclosed in the prior Un, I acknowledge the duty to disbetween the filing date of the	ited States application in the close material information	e manner provided as defined in Title
10	/386,943	03/13/03	Pending		
(Application Serial No.)		(Filing Date)	(Status: patented, p	ending, abandoned)	
60/441,315		01/22/03	Abandoned		
	ation Serial No.)	(Filing Date)		ending, abandoned)	

Power of Attorney: As a named inventor, I hereby appoint Michael E. Whitham, Reg. No. 32,635, Marshall M. Curtis, Reg. No. 33,138, Clyde R Christofferson, Reg. No. 34,138, and C. Lamont Whitham, Reg. No. 22,424, as attorneys to prosecute this application and transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith. All correspondence should be directed to Whitham, Curtis & Christofferson, PC, 11491 Sunset Hills Road, Suite 340, Reston, Virginia 20190. Telephone calls should be directed to Whitham, Curtis & Christofferson, P.C. at (703) 787-9400.

Docket No.: 02560045PA

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Theodore S. Rappaport
Jeon Date: Jue 7.04
(FR West Avenille, Addin TX 787587, AUSTIN, TX 78713
US
Same as above
Roger R. Skidmore
12 Date: JUNE 7, 2004
12100 Metric Blvd., #722, Austin, TX 78758
US
Same as above

*Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, § 1.56:

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith toward the Patent and Trademark Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and (1) it establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability; or (2) it refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in: (i) opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or (ii) asserting an argument of patentability.